

Indexes to select Angus sires for use on dairy cows

Stephen Miller – Angus Genetics Inc. Jason Archer – AbacusBio Ltd





Beef on dairy – a growing market



Understanding the US beef x dairy system and issues



Study tour in December

- Packers
- Feeders
- Dairy operations
- Calf ranches
- USDA dairy genetic evaluation scientists

Key messages

Dairy sector

Calving ease a priority for dairy sector

Feed yard

- Growth and conversion in feed yard is an issue for Angus x Jersey
 - Longer on feed, finished at lighter weights
- Need to look like beef animals
 - Tall and narrow don't fit

Processor

- Carcass length can be a problem in Angus x Holstein
- Sunken strips can be a problem more-so in Angus x Jersey
- Quality grade is generally acceptable





Dairy Type







Wish-lists

- Calving ease a priority
- Avoid bulls which are too tall (height penalty)
- Extra muscle desirable

"Moderate framed, easy calving, muscular bull, with marbling prioritized over growth"



- Calving ease a priority
- Need additional growth
- Need additional muscle
- Height not an issue

"High growth, easy calving, very muscular bull, with growth prioritized over marbling"





	\$M	\$B
Calving Ease	✓	
Growth to weaning	✓	
Milk	✓	
Mature weight	✓	
Fertility	✓	
Docility	✓	
Foot score	✓	
Post-weaning growth		✓
Post-weaning intake		✓
Carcase weight		✓
Yield Grade		✓
Quality Grade		~





	\$M	\$B	\$AxH	\$AxJ
Calving Ease	✓		✓	✓
Growth to weaning	✓		✓	✓
Milk	✓			
Mature weight	✓			
Fertility	✓			
Docility	✓			
Foot score	✓			
Post-weaning growth		✓	✓	✓
Post-weaning intake		✓	✓	✓
Carcase weight		✓	✓	✓
Yield Grade		✓	✓	✓
Quality Grade		✓	✓	✓





	\$M	\$B	\$AxH	\$AxJ
Calving Ease	\checkmark		✓	✓ —
Growth to weaning	✓		✓	✓
Milk	~			
Mature weight	✓			
Fertility	~			
Docility	✓			
Foot score	✓			
Post-weaning growth		✓	✓	✓
Post-weaning intake		~	~	~
Carcase weight		✓	✓	✓
Yield Grade		~	✓	~
Quality Grade		~	~	✓

Utilises economics from USA Net Merit assumptions





	\$M	\$B	\$AxH	\$AxJ
Calving Ease	✓		✓	✓
Growth to weaning	✓		✓	\checkmark
Milk	✓			
Mature weight	✓			
Fertility	✓			
Docility	✓			
Foot score	✓			
Post-weaning growth		\checkmark	✓	~
Post-weaning intake		\checkmark	✓	~
Carcase weight		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Yield Grade		✓	✓	✓
Quality Grade		~	~	\checkmark





	\$M	\$B	\$AxH	\$AxJ				
Calving Ease	~		~	~				
Growth to weaning	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	_			
Milk	✓							
Mature weight	\checkmark					Re-parameterized for		
Fertility	✓					dairy beef system	dairy beef system	
Docility	\checkmark					- Slower growth		
Foot score	✓				┝	- Less fat cover		
Post-weaning growth		✓	✓	\checkmark		- Fed for longer		
Post-weaning intake		✓	✓	✓		- Slaughtered lighter		
Carcase weight		✓	\checkmark	✓				
Yield Grade		✓	✓	✓				
Quality Grade		~	~	~				





\$M	\$B	\$AxH	\$AxJ	
~		✓	✓	
~		✓	✓	
~				
~				
~				
✓				New traits added to
~				penalize bulls with poor
	✓	✓	~	muscling or excessively ta
	✓	✓	~	
	✓	✓	~	
	✓	✓	✓	
	1	\checkmark	✓	
		✓	~	
		~		
	\$M ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	 \$M \$B ✓ <li< td=""><td>\$M \$B \$A×H ✓ ✓ ✓ <td>\$M \$B \$AxH \$AxJ Image: Second strain stran strain strain strain strain strain strain strain str</td></td></li<>	\$M \$B \$A×H ✓ ✓ ✓ <td>\$M \$B \$AxH \$AxJ Image: Second strain stran strain strain strain strain strain strain strain str</td>	\$M \$B \$AxH \$AxJ Image: Second strain stran strain strain strain strain strain strain strain str

abacusbio.

BRIDGING SCIENCE & BUSINESS



Determination of Muscling







Determination of Muscling



These animals all have similar Weight EPD, but vastly different Ultrasound RIB-EYE Area EPD





REA EPD and Muscling



Sunken Strip discount is \$20/CWT

Sunken Strip frequency 3X higher in AxJ vs. AxH Creates a non-linear economic emphasis Poor muscling will lead to increased percent Sunken Strips











Rib Eye Area distribution of progeny







Rib Eye Area distribution of progeny

















Two Indexes in the end Coming later this summer



0.95 Correlation on Current Sires



\$AxJ Angus on Jersey Value \$AxH Angus on Holstein Value



Correlation to \$B is moderate Result: significant re-ranking



0.95 Correlation on Current Sires Angus on Holstein .67 .72 \$B



\$AxH

Value

\$AxJ Angus on Jersey Value



The dairy cow is to the beef industry what the laying hen is to the broiler industry



Need to put a little meat on them bones





Rib-eye is to the dairy indexes what carcass weight was to \$B

Correlations	\$B	\$AxH	\$AxJ
CW EPD	0.74	0.41	0.54
REA EPD	0.54	0.73	0.81

Correlation across ~10,000 current sires



\$B and YH EPD are positively correlated (0.47)



Correlation between YH EPD and \$B is 0.47



Can you see the rising fish?





\$AxH Turns the fish from rising to level



Correlation between YH EPD and \$AxH is Zero



Comparing Emphasis





Poorest 10 CED from top 100 on \$Value

These bottom 5% of the breed for CED don't make the top 100 on AxJ or AxH

\$B	\$AxH	\$AxJ
-11	3	-4
-9	3	0
-7	3	1
5	4	1
-4	4	2
-2	5	2
-1	5	3
-1	6	3
1	6	4
1	6	4



Highest 10 YH from top 100 on \$Value

	\$B	\$AxH
	1.8	1.3
	1.6	1.2
Top1% of	1.5	1.1
the breed	1.4	1.1
for YH is	1.4	1
1.3. Far	1.4	1
tewer of those in Ton	1.4	1
10 on SAxH.	1.3	1
	1.3	0.9
	1.3	0.9



Far Fewer Top \$AxH bulls in Top 1% for YH

9,690 Current Sires 163 > 1.3 YH EPD 124 over 15 over 150 \$AxH 150 \$B



More ways to fail



Poor Calving Ease

Poor Muscling

Too much height

Longer Feeding Period



Bulls that tick all the "wrong boxes" will compound discounts and have a seriously negative \$AxH or \$AxJ

-200 AxH or AxJ is possible.



Average of Top 100 Current Sires on each index



Average EPD of Top 100 bulls on each index

Compare within not between indexes



In a Nut Shell



- Growth Similar to \$B Highest in \$AxJ
- More CED
- Muscle more in \$AxH and most in \$AxJ
- Height High YH EPD penalized in \$AxH
- Similar CW
- Similar MARB in \$AxH, less in \$AxJ





Questions/Discussion

Stephen Miller, Ph.D. smiller@angus.org

Genetic Research Director 816-383-5157

