## MEAN EPDs REPORTED BY DIFFERENT BREEDS

Larry A. Kuehn and R. Mark Thallman

Roman L. Hruska U.S. Meat Animal Research Center, USDA-ARS, Clay Center, NE 68933

Expected progeny differences (EPDs) have been the primary tool for genetic improvement of beef cattle for over 40 years beginning with evaluations of growth traits. Since that time, EPDs have been added for several other production traits such as calving ease, stayability, carcass merit and conformation. Most recently, several breed associations have derived economic indices from their EPDs to increase profit under different management and breeding systems.

It is useful for producers to compare the EPDs of potential breeding animals with their breed average. The current EPDs from the most recent genetic evaluations of 24 breeds are presented in this report. Mean EPDs for growth traits are shown in Table 1 (24 breeds), for other production traits in Table 2 (19 breeds), and for carcass and composition traits in Table 3 (20 breeds). Several breeds also have EPDs and indices that are unique to their breed; these EPDs are presented in Table 4.

Average EPDs should only be used to determine the genetic merit of an animal relative to its breed average. To compare animals of different breeds, across breed adjustment factors should be added to animals' EPDs for their respective breeds (see Across-breed EPD Tables reported by Kuehn and Thallman in these proceedings).

This list is likely incomplete; evaluations for some breeds are not widely reported. We are aware of recent EPD evaluations for the American Akaushi, Blonde d'Aquitaine, North American Piedmontese, American Pinzgauer, and American Waygu breeds but have not found summaries containing current breed averages. If you see a breed missing and would like to report the average EPDs for that breed, please contact Larry (<a href="mailto:Larry.Kuehn@ars.usda.gov">Larry.Kuehn@ars.usda.gov</a>) or Mark (<a href="mailto:Mark.Thallman@ars.usda.gov">Mark.Thallman@ars.usda.gov</a>).

**Table 1.** Birth year 2013 average EPDs from 2015 evaluations for growth traits

Table 1. Bitti yea	Birth	Weaning	Yearling	Maternal	Total
Breed	Weight (lb)	Weight (lb)	Weight (lb)	Milk (lb)	Maternal (lb)
Angus	1.7	50	88	23	
Hereford	3.3	47	77	19	43
Murray Grey	3.7	23	35	4	15
Red Angus	-1.2	56	87	20	
Red Poll	1.6	15	24	6	
Shorthorn	2.2	48	52.7	19.7	41.6
South Devon	2.5	43.2	80.8	24.5	46.2
Beefmaster	0.3	10	14	2	
Braford	1.0	11	17	3	9
Brahman	1.8	16	25.5	5.6	
Brangus	1.1	24.7	46.2	9.5	21.8
Red Brangus	1.5	12.6	19.8	5.0	11.3
Santa Gertrudis	0.2	3.6	5.2	0.3	
Senepol	1.2	12.1	16.5	4.5	9.6
Simbrah	3.9	62.9	85.2	20.7	52.1
Braunvieh	2.9	44.5	69.2	34.3	56.6
Charolais	0.5	26.1	47.5	8.5	21.6
Chianina	2.4	43.3	62.2	16.8	38.3
Gelbvieh	1.0	67.5	97.5	28.3	61.9
Limousin	1.9	64.3	90.6	25.4	
Maine-Anjou	1.2	45.3	59.8	17.1	39.6
Salers	1.5	42	80	19	40
Simmental	2	62.9	91	21.9	53.4
Tarentaise	1.3	17.5	30.8	.7	9.4

**Table 2.** Birth year 2013 average EPDs from 2015 evaluations for other production traits

Table 2. Birtin	Calving	Calving				<u> </u>	
	Ease	Ease			Mature	Heifer	
	Direct	Maternal	Scrotal	Docility	Weight	Pregnancy	Stayability
Breed	(%)	(%)	Circ (cm)	Score	(lb)	(%)	(%)
Angus	4	8	0.82	13	30	10.1	
Hereford	0.9	1.2	0.8		87		
Murray Grey	-0.6	-0.1	0.2		53		
Red Angus	4	5				10	11
Shorthorn	4.0	1.4					
South Devon			0.1				
Beefmaster			0.2				
Brahman				0.0			
Brangus	4.6	3.7	0.48				
Simbrah	2.5	6.4		6.8			
Braunvieh	5.4	0.7	-0.12				
Charolais	3.1	3.5	0.72				
Chianina	5.5	-2.5					
Gelbvieh	10.2	7.2					6.6
Limousin	6.9	5.5	0.14	21.6			19.4
Maine Anjou	7.8	2.4					
Salers	0.4	0.4	0.3	9			23
Simmental	8.6	9.4		9.6			20.6
Tarentaise	-0.1	0.7					

**Table 3.** Birth year 2013 average EPDs from 2015 evaluations for carcass and composition traits

		Retail			Carcass			
	Carcass	Product	Yield	Marbling	Ribeye Area	Fat Thickness	Rump fat	WBSF
Breed	Wt (lb)	(%)	Grade	Score	(in <sup>2</sup> )	(in)	(in)	(lb)
Angus	32			0.54	0.51	0.012		
Hereford				0.07	0.30	0.003		
Murray Grey	31	0.4		$0.0^{a}$	$0.10^{a}$	$0.00^{a}$	$0.00^{a}$	
Red Angus	20		0.01	0.44	0.10	-0.001		
Shorthorn	0.2			0.05	-0.06	-0.030		
South Devon	27.4	0.8		0.4	0.22	0.01		
Beefmaster				$0.00^{a}$	0.03 <sup>a</sup>	0.01 <sup>a</sup>	$0.01^{a}$	
Braford	6			0.01	0.06	0.012		
Brahman	1.2	0.00		0.01	0.01	-0.001		0.02
Brangus	23.5			$0.00^{a}$	$0.31^{a}$	$-0.043^{a}$	$-0.008^{a}$	
Santa Gertrudis	3.3			-0.01	0.03	0.002		
Simbrah	25.6		-0.23	-0.08	0.46	-0.060		-0.03
Braunvieh	20.3			$0.57^{a}$	$0.33^{a}$	-0.43 <sup>a</sup>	-0.057 <sup>a</sup>	
Charolais	15.9			0.03	0.28	0.003		
Chianina	10.5	0.33		0.15	0.19	-0.04		
Gelbvieh	28.8		-0.19	0.06	0.45	-0.05		
Limousin	25.9		-0.18	-0.07	0.50			
Maine-Anjou	7.0	0.43		0.04	0.19	-0.051		
Salers	22	0.1		0	0.04	0.00		
Simmental	26.8		-0.32	0.12	0.77	-0.05		-0.32

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Derived using ultrasound measures and reported on an ultrasound scale (IMF% instead of marbling score)

**Table 4.** Birth year 2013 average EPDs from 2015 evaluations for other traits unique to individual breeds

	Residual			Cow	Weaned	ue to individual br		
	Average Daily	Mature	Yearling	Energy	Calf	Feedlot	Grid	Beef
Angus	Gain (lb)	Height (in)	Height (in)	Value (\$)	Value (\$)	Value (\$)	Value (\$)	Value (\$)
	0.18	0.3	0.5	-6.98	35.94	34.67	30.24	84.8
	Baldy	Brahman	Certifie	d Calv	ing			
	Maternal Index		Hereford E					
Hereford	(\$)	Index (\$)	Index (\$				t Score	
	17	15	22	15	í	1.13	1.13	
	Mature Cow M	<b>Taintenance</b>						
Red Angus	(Mcal/	mo)						
	0							
						Efficiency	Feeder	
	30-Month					Profit Index	Profit Index	
Gelbvieh	Pregnancy	DMI (lb/d)	ADG (lb/d)	RFI (lb/d)	\$ Cow (\$	S) (\$)	(\$)	_
	0.8	0.008	-0.002	-0.007	69.59	100.82	69.40	
	Mainstream Te	rminal						
Limousin	Index (\$)	<u> </u>						
	47.7							
	All Purpose	Terminal		All P	urpose	Terminal		
Simmental	Index (\$)	Index (\$)	Simb	rah <u>Inde</u>	ex (\$)	Index (\$)		
	119.4	66.7		69	9.8	52.4		
			\$ British N	Maternal				
Shorthorn	\$ Calving Ease	\$ Feedlot	Inde	ex				
	21.72	47.81	108.0	67				
Murray		Gestational	•					
Grey	600-d wt (lb)	length (d)	calving (	d)				
	51	-0.2	-0.8					