



















UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI

SHOW-ME-SELECT [™] PROGRAM OBJECTIVES

- Improve existing development programs through a <u>Total Quality Management</u> approach
- Provide a reliable source of quality replacements (genetics and management)
- Increase marketing opportunities for and add value to Missouri-raised heifers

UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI SH	IOW-ME-SELECT TM applishments and Impacts
Since	1996
Number of farms	833
Number of veterinarians	275
Number of heifers enrolled	129,545
Regional Extension Livestock Coord	inators 10
Regional Extension Livestock Spec	ialists 17
Contribution to Missouri's econo	my > \$120,000,000
First state-wide on-farm beef heifer develo	pment and marketing program in the nation.

UNIVERSITY OF MISSO Extensio	n SHOW-N Accomplish	1E-SELECTTM ments and Impacts
MARKETING CO	MPONENT SINCE 1997	
Sales and locations	147 sales @ 11 locations	A REAL PROPERTY AND
Number of heifers sold	32,381 sold	
Gross sales	\$46,565,810	
Number of states	19 states	
Prospective buyers	9,919 (> 700 out of state)	
Successful buyers	3,366 (> 200 out of state)	









	YEAR	AVERAGE
	1997	\$826
	1998	\$767
	1999	\$824
	2000	\$1047
	2001	\$966
Show-Me-Select ^{IM}	2002	\$951
	2003	\$1122
Average Sale Price by Year	2004	\$1322
	2005	\$1349
l sales (spring-calving heifers)	2006	\$1210
	2007	\$1323
	2008	\$1168
	2009	\$1177
	2010	\$1413
	2011	\$1706
	2012	\$2043
	2013	\$2121
	2014	\$2944
	2015	\$2388
	2016	\$1796

	VEAD	AVEDACE
	2000	\$1052
	2001	\$1110
	2002	\$1125
	2003	\$981
Charry Mar Calast TM	2004	\$1403
Snow-Me-Select M	2005	\$1392
Average Sale Price by Year	2006	\$1378
	2007	\$1209
Spring sales (fall-calving heifers)	2008	\$1294
	2009	\$1295
	2010	\$1332
	2011	\$1711
	2012	\$1948
	2013	\$1655
	2014	\$2519
	2015	\$2820
	2016	\$2242







What we learned ...

- > The concept of fixed-time AI made sense
- Selection of sires to use in AI programs for many commercial producers was overwhelming
 How do I begin to choose?

Extension	SHOW-ME-SELECT ^{IM} TIER TWO
Minimum Accuracies	for Sires of Tier Two Heifers
<u>Trait</u>	<u>Accuracy</u>
 Calving ease (direct) 	• .65
 Calving ease (maternal) 	• .30
 Weaning weight 	• .75
 Carcass Weight 	• .20
 Marbling 	• .20













Prem	iums for heifers with var	ious class	sifications*
Classifica	ition	Premium	ROI
AI pregn	ancy	\$130	
Show-Me	e-Plus (Genomic Prediction)	\$204	326% to 700%
*Predic mixed r	ted premiums for heifers with various nodel analysis of 2014 and 2015 sale re	classifications ports.	, based on
	Genomic ROI: Early Returns Suggest P http://blog.steakgenomics.org/2016/02/gen See http://agebb.missouri.edu/select/prgmr	remium for Sho omic-roi-early-re eq.htm for more	w-Me-Plus Heifers eturns-suggest.html information.

Sire Group	No. of steers	Choice or higher (%)	CAB® (%)	Prime (%)	CAB [®] Prime (%)
High Accuracy	252	100	59	29	88
Low Accuracy	101	96	50	13	63

uuning value v	VITH HIGH	ACCURA	ACY _
UThompson Res	earch Cente	r	
High Accuracy Sire Group	Carcass Value (\$)	Differe	nce (\$)
Prime	2285		
CAB [®]	2116	169	
Choice	1999	286	117

Heifers Enroll	led by Service Ty	pe and Year
Year	AI %	Natural Service %
2010	68	32
2011	77	23
2012	85	15
2013	83	17
2014	91	9
2015	90	10
2016	91	9





Reproductive Tract Scores				
RTS	Cycling status	Uterine horns	Ovaries	
1	infantile	no tone	no palpable follicles	
2	non-cycling > 30 d to puberty	no tone	8 mm follicles	
3	non-cycling < 30 d to puberty	slight tone	8-10 mm follicles	
4	Estrous cycling Follicular phase	coiled	> 10 mm follicles	
5	Estrous cycling Luteal phase	distended	corpus luteum present	



Heifer management...

- RTS: 4 to 6 weeks before breeding or 2 weeks before estrous synchronization
- ➢ Begin synchronization when ≥ 50% of the heifers have RTS of 4 or 5

Reproductive Tract Scores and FTAI

Show-Me-Select database allows for analysis of FTAI pregnancy rates based upon reproductive tract score (n=29,343)

	1	2	3	4	5
n pregnant	9	255	4,091	5,138	5,088
n exposed	163	893	8,422	10,092	9,773
FTAI PR	6%	29%	48%	51%	52%

Reproductive n =	Tract Scores and F 19,859 heifers	FTAI
	RTS and FTA	I Pregnancy Rate
FTAI Protocol	Non-Cycling	Cycling
7-Day CO-Synch + CIDR	166/438 38% ^{a,x}	369/861 43% ^{b,x}
MGA - PG	81/230 35% ^{a,x}	265/564 47%^{b,x}
14-Day CIDR - PC	4,027/8,647	9,588/18,434

Reproductive Tract Scores and FTAI n = 9,315 heifers					
RTS and FTAI Pregnancy Rate					
FTAI Protocol	Non-Cycling	Cycling			
7-Day CO-Synch + CIDR	166/438 38%^{a,x}	369/861 43% ^{b,x}			
MGA - PG	81/230 35% ^{a,x}	265/564 47% ^{b,x}			
14-Day CIDR - PG	4,027/8,647 47% ^{a,y}	9,588/18,434 52% ^{b,y}			
* ³ Percentages within rows with different superscripts differ P < 0.01. * ³ Percentages within columns with different superscripts differ P < 0.01.					



Reproductive Tract Scores and FTAI n = 29,174 heifers					
RTS and FTAI Pregnancy Rate					
FTAI Protocol	Cycling	Cycling	Totals		
7-Day CO- Synch + CIDR	166/438 38% ^{a,x}	369/861 43% ^{b,x}	535/1,299 41% ^x		
MGA - PG	81/230 35% ^{a,x}	265/564 47% ^{b,x}	346/794 44% ^x		
14-Day CIDR - PG	4,027/8,647 47% ^{a,y}	9,588/18,434 52% ^{b,y}	13,615/27,081 50%y		
³⁴ Percentages within rows with different superscripts differ $P < 0.01$ ³⁴ Percentages within columns with different superscripts differ $P < 0.01$					













